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**Reviewer’s report:**

**MINOR ESSENTIAL REVISIONS**

- There are problems with language and understanding in several places
- Abstract: Methods section end off first sentence has some words missing
- P4 last sentence. Need to explain what the Italian VALORE project is
- P5 last sentence. Needs a sentence to explain whether the process that identified the sample of 105987 people with diabetes is reliable
- P9 Discussion. End of first paragraph Does this method of identification mean that anyone with diabetes just treated with lifestyle management (which can form up to 20% of people with a diabetes diagnosis in England) are NOT included? This needs explanation
- P10 3rd sentence ref is 10 years old. There is much more up to date information from England from our National Diabetes Audit that should be included and discussed. Ref Diabetes Care in England and Wales Diabetic Medicine 2013 30: 799-802
- P12 First sentence of last para says Our study is affected by some shortness - should the word be "limitations"
- P14 3rd ref is incomplete

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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