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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Reviewers #1 Comments</th>
<th>Author’s action/respond/changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The authors have done a good job responding to my previous comments. I am satisfied with their responses.</td>
<td>The authors would like to thank you for your kind words.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>What was the fall out rate between pre and post test? Reasons?</td>
<td>The participants were asked to complete three questionnaires; (i) process evaluation questionnaire (ii) pre-test questionnaire (iii) post-test questionnaire. There was no fall out rate between the pre- and post-test questionnaires. All 88 participants completed both the pre- and post-test questionnaires. However, as per stated in the <strong>Results Section</strong> the response rate was 96.7%. This is because three of the 91 participants did not complete the pre- and post-test questionnaires, although all 91 participants completed the process evaluation questionnaire. <strong>3 Results</strong> 3.1 <em>Comparison of pre and post-test results</em> Eighty-eight participants (response rate = 96.7%) completed both pre- and post-test questionnaires, while all participants (n=91) completed the process evaluation questionnaire. Table 2 presents the responses given by the participants to all the items. The authors have tried to explain the discrepancies in the <strong>Discussion Section</strong> – last paragraph of <strong>Implications of MYDEMO results</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The authors also acknowledged a small discrepancy between those who completed the evaluation questionnaires (n=91) compared to those who completed the pre- and post-test questionnaires (n=88). This small discrepancy (3.3%) occurred because three of the participants did not complete the post-test questionnaires. Although the authors tried to make contact with the participants, via the Human Resource departments, the effort was not fruitful and the questionnaires remain incomplete. However, given the high response rate (96.7%) the authors posit that this small discrepancy is not significant.