Reviewer's report

Title: Diabetes related knowledge among residents and nurses: A multicenter study in Karachi, Pakistan.

Version: 6 Date: 19 July 2012

Reviewer: Rachael Gooberman-Hill

Reviewer's report:

Compulsory revisions and queries

1) The changes to the description of the assessment of validity are helpful, as they provide more detail, However they do not give me confidence that robust processes were in place to assess content validity of the questionnaire. Do the authors perhaps mean face validity?

2) The authors have revised their description of the "content" analysis and now describe a different method which seems more appropriate to the data land is akin to marking an exam. I am a little concerned that the method described differed from the previous description. Can the authors explain whether the previous version of the manuscript contained errors in the description and if so then how these came about. this would provide reassurance that the current manuscript version reflects accurately the process used.

3) The authors did not obtain written consent of participants. The reason for this needs explaining. if not justified then the study would be ethically unsound.

4) The comment about explaining recruitment processes has not yet been addressed sufficiently. This is important.

Comment

5) A general comment- it would be more helpful had the authors were able to use 'track changes' and in their responses had described the page numbers where changes were made. Perhaps the authors could use this approach in their future work.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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