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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript looks better, but there are some points still not clear.
1. It is not clear when the authors report the prevalence of diabetes. Do the authors reported prevalence of DM only for those already known diabetes? Because the authors’ DM definition is based on history only, and it does not include those having CG>11.1. Why the authors did not combine all diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes to report the prevalence of all diabetes?

2. It is not clear about the percentage of people with high CG who did not previously diagnosed diabetes. The statement in page7, line 3 and 4 from below states that “CG>=11.1 mmol/L was ……present in 5.5% of non-diabetic participants. I think this is not a proportion of undiagnosed among all diabetes. This is quite confusing, since the definition seems to be inconsistent with the discussion in page 9. Line 13.

3. In table 3, number of subjects should be presented for each variable in the table.

4. Instead of looking at the factors associated with diabetes, it is more interesting to look at the factors associated with undiagnosed diabetes.
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