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**Reviewer's report:**

This is a well conducted review dealing with a topic of emerging importance. The authors have used state-of-the-art techniques to select studies for inclusion and an excellent presentation of the findings. The review would benefit from including some discussion about three factors: 1) differences in the age of the subjects 2) whether the intervention targeted the parents of the child, and 3) the fact that the different studies had variable durations (6-18 months) as this factors can have influence on the glycemic data. In this context, some discussion relevant to these points is warranted. Finally, on page 17 the discussion of study reference 44 is followed by a sentence that reads "from the same intervention (41) patients who received conventional therapy and the "Sweat Talk"....." This is not the same intervention as described above (ref 44). Perhaps they intended to say “from a similar type of intervention….”

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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