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Reviewer's report:

Minor essential revisions:
Check the MS for grammar and style; there are a few places with awkward English; I mention those that sprang right into view, without being comprehensive:
- p2, Methods final line: omit "from"
- p2, Results, effects size; better to write "mean difference" instead of "effect"
- p9, 2nd §, "indicative OF..."
- p10, 2nd § "impossibly caused.." is bad English; change
- p10, final sentence: it instead of "is", and better rephrase whole sentence
- p11, line 7 from end: have in its history undergone...
- p12, supported BY a grant...

Discretionary:
I think it would be wise to discuss response shift as a potential mechanism, as the treatment lasted long and it is very likely that due to response shift effects are rather under- than overestimated: e.g. Sprangers MAG, Schwartz CE. Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life research: a theoretical model. Social Science and Medicine 1999;48:1507-15.

All the references appear after the periods of sentences. This is somewhat unusual. Unless required by style sheet, change to before periods.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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