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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editorial Production Team

Thank you for agreeing to publish our manuscript.

In order to ease the online production of our manuscript we have made the following changes:

The list of authors in the manuscript is now written exactly as they are in the submission system. All authors titles have been removed (authors qualifications were never present). Authors affiliations have been formatted as requested and linked using superscript numbers.

The Trial Registration number has been removed from the title and placed in the Abstract in the format requested both on the manuscript and submissions page.

The structured abstract has been updated both on the manuscript and the submissions page. A full stop has been added at the end of the Abstract method section.

A full stop has been added after ref 27 on page 6 in the Background section.

Based on the instructions for BMC Ear, Nose and Throat Disorders authors submitting a study protocol we felt a discussion section was not applicable for this protocol as all issues linked to the study fell within previous headings therefore a discussion section in the abstract and after Methods/Design has not been included.

I hope these changes meet with your approval however please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further amendments.

Yours sincerely

Catherine Lock