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Dear Dr. Morawska,

**MS: 1037763845131478: “A Global Patient Outcomes Registry: Cochlear Paediatric Implant Recipient Observational Study (P-IROS)”**

Thank you for assessing our manuscript/ study protocol above, which was originally submitted to BMC – ENT as a Database Article (MS: 5818536231233428) on 07 March 2014. Please find below our detailed response to the requests of the editors (in italics).

**Requests from editors:**

A. In your competing interests section you mention individual with initials: JS, there is no author of that initials in the author list. Also, make sure you mention all of the authors in this section. For authors who don't have any competing interest, this listing will read: 'All other authors declare that they have no competing interests'.

*We acknowledge this comment from the editor, and have revised the manuscript accordingly to reflect competing interests of all authors listed (pg. 18, paragraph II).*

B. Could you please respond to the following points below and provide the requested responses in your cover letter.

1. Ethical and Funding Approval Documentation: Before we can proceed with your submission, can you please forward copies of all ethical approval and funding approval for our records.

   *Please note that we forwarded the requested ethical committee approval documentation to BMCSeriesEditorial@biomedcentral.com.*

2. Funding: A study is considered to be externally funded if the authors have been awarded a grant for the study by a major funding body (e.g. governmental funding/ award from a charitable foundation). If a study has not received external funding, then the study protocol will be sent for peer-review with a member of our Editorial Board. If a study has received funding/ assistance from a commercial organization, this should be clearly stated in the 'competing interests' section of your manuscript, and the study protocol will be sent for peer-review by a member of our Editorial Board. Can you please confirm whether your study protocol has undergone peer-review by the funding body.

*We acknowledge this comment from the editors and confirm that the study received funding/ assistance from a commercial organisation. This was noted on the Title Page of the submitted manuscript (pg. 1, paragraph VI). As requested, we also highlight this under 'competing interests' in the revised manuscript (in duplicate on pg. 18, paragraph II).*
3. Study status: The protocol must be for a study that is ongoing. An ‘ongoing’ study is defined as one where the investigators are still collecting, or analyzing data. Can you please confirm what stage your study is currently at?

The current study status is ‘ongoing’. This was noted in the Methodology section (under ‘2.1.4 Study Period’) of the submitted manuscript (pg. 8, paragraph II).

4. Related Articles: Can you please clarify whether any publications containing the results of this study have already been published or submitted to any journal. If so, can you please provide a list of the related articles?

We confirm that currently no part of the data arising from P-IROS have been published or submitted for publication elsewhere.

We look forward to receiving your comments on the manuscript soon.

Kind regards,

Ms. Thathya Ariyaratne