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Reviewer's report:

General

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
The authors must explain what they have done to adjust for confounding. It is OK that they have checked that the age and sex distribution was similar in both exposed and unexposed. But they also state that "mean exposure time was compared between the two groups....and there was no statistically significant differences" in the first paragraph of the discussion. What are the two groups with the same mean exposure time?
The reference list must be correct!

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No