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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors perform a systematic review of the papers published on penile cancer and quality of life. The authors are to be congratulated for writing a clear and interesting overview on this important topic.

Some comments:

1. I would like to see the studies in the text with their reference, instead of being indicated as study number...X.

2. Although the authors indicate their selection procedure, I suggest they extend this section provinding more details on the paper selection. We all know that according to the chosen studies, different conclusions may be drawn, so it is of outmost importance to clearly indicate which studies have been excluded and for which reasons.

3. It would be good to indicate the level of evidence for each study, according to the Oxford classification.

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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