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Reviewer's report:

Major: Please comment on the correlation between various outcome measures within each trial, to see how sensitive existing questionnaires are to detecting meaningful changes.

In table 5: for reference 6 IIEF is control group pre vs post surgery? please clarify.

Discretionary: From looking at the data is it reasonable to suggest that penile cancer reduces various QoL indices and that these appear to improve with treatment, albeit not to a level equal to general population.

Comment on the appropriateness, or otherwise, of using patients with BPH compared with those with penile cancer - do represent similar populations?

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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