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Reviewer's report:

GENERAL COMMENTS
Authors present their observations on the response of smooth penile, and striated pelvic floor muscles to micturition. The study is original and interesting. The paper is well written. My main concerns are due to the non-validated method of needle electrode recording of the cavernosal electrical activity and technically outdated methods of EMG activity measurement in general.

1. Authors should provide a better support for validity of presented EMG activity recorded in the cavernous tissue.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS
2. The third paragraph of methods is a repetition of the second. Please omit repetition!
3. Third line of the third paragraph of methods: â##ishiopubicâ## instead â##ishiopublicâ##.
4. Although contra intuitive, deflection below the baseline are called positive in neurophysiology (page 5, line 4 of Results).
5. The first paragraph of discussion is a repetition of the introduction. Please omit repetition!
6. Sixth line of the first paragraph of discussion: â##contractedâ## instead â##contractileâ##.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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