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Reviewer’s report:

General
This is an important and interesting area in clinical practice and QoL research. The results would be useful to clinicians and help patients in decision making. I think that the application of a simple questionnaire is good, but there are at present a lot of different questionnaires. In my opinion there exist enough questionnaires, now is the time for using the developed questionnaires in the clinical trials.

My specific comments:
As the authors said themselves, the psychometric properties of this questionnaire are in the press. I have not read this psychometric study, but if I understood, the authors made psychoemtric properties with test-retest, interne consistency etc. This study describes only one part of psychometric testing. If the first study includes all parts of psychometric testing apart from factorial validity, the present study is redundant. To my mind, a description of the factorial validity is not enough for one manuscript. I suggest to the authors to put this part into the first publication.

*I suggest rejection of this study, at least until the first study is published to find out if there is an overlap.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Reject because too small an advance to publish

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the
statistics.
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