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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for asking us to revise our manuscript in view of reviewer's comments. We have made the following changes

1-In view of referee one suggestion that we should omit the following sentences from results as they may cause confusion to the reader.

"They provided factors for and against the argument by answering both questions 3 and 4. Hence, a final figure of 186 urologists for and 214 against were available for analysis."

We can confirm that we have removed the sentences from the results. (Major change Referee 1)

2-Incidence of indeterminate renal masses from the series of Lechevallier et al (reference 7) has been added in the third paragraph of discussion. (Minor change Referee 1)

3-MDT has been expanded as multidisciplinary team in the results. (Minor change Referee 1)

4- Recommendations regarding pathology have already been discussed in the 5th paragraph and our comment has been added as the last sentence. (Minor change Referee 2)

We hope in the light of these changes our manuscript will now be acceptable for publication.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Azhar Khan (On behalf of all the authors)