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Reviewer's report:

General

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

This review is a "tie breaker" by one of the medical editors, to adjudicate reviewers who could not agree among themselves if the paper was fit to publish.

While the paper is a tight description of the performance characteristics of a useful tool (angiography to treat renal bleeding), angiographic embolization of renal bleeding (PCNL bleeding, trauma, angiomyoplaoma, spontaneous or tumor bleeding) is already EXTREMELY well described in the literature. However, I favor accepting for publication assuming the authors can address the several comments of the reviewers.

Also, while I realize that writing scientific works in a language not one's own must be very difficult, I would encourage the authors to read their papers more carefully in the future for errors, or engage a native English speaking reader (or medical editor) to edit the paper before submission. All 3 reviewers were disappointed to see unnecessary grammar/spelling etc. errors in the paper.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

None