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Reviewer's report:

General
The article gives a sound review on the studies assessing TUNA. It is well made and should be published.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

No major revisions are necessary.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Also no minor revisions need to be suggested.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
Instead I would recommend to leave the paper as is.

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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