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Reviewer’s report:

Authors have done a notable clinical trial which has been less focused by many urologists. Manuscript was written clearly and also outcome measurements were reasonable.

I have a few comments for authors.

First, why the authors did not perform the procedure with flexible cystoscopy.

Second, Authors have to clear about the main outcome. I am not sure whether the main outcome is post operative satisfaction or feasibility and safety of the procedures by propofol or comparison of cost effectiveness.

Moreover, If authors wanted to describe about the safety and effectiveness of sedation drugs, more detailed method have to be stated in the method or discussion.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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