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Reviewer's report:

In this manuscript, the Authors reported their experience with 120 watt green laser to treat elderly high risk patients affected of BPH.

Overall, it is an important and common clinical issue due to increasing of mean age of surgical BPH patients and so the hazard of concomitant disease.

About the paper some comments:

Major Compulsory Revisions:
1. Abstract should be reviewed. Some lines are placed in methods instead of results. In method, paragraph two is a result not a study method.
2. Introduction section is very large and it is not focused on clinical issue and previous experiences. Please summarize it.
3. In patients selection, the authors should state how patients were included in the study: it is a retrospective study including elderly patients affected of BPH undergone PVP. Whereby inclusions and exclusions study criteria should be clearly reported.

Minor essential revision
1. Complications are not classified using a standardized method (Clavien-Satava), however the authors could report it as a study limit.

2. Table 1 format should be reviewed in order to be more straightforward.

Discretionary Revisions
1. Finally, the discussion section looks to not be in line with study results. Many times a mini review (not refereed) is performed instead of commenting study results. For example when “authors employed” in the text. Please discuss study results and how they could impact the clinical practice.

2. The manuscript does not sound very well. Some time methods and results are together jointed. Please consider to review the manuscript format.
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