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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
I can not detect the compulsory revisions till the statistics are revised

Minor Essential Revisions
There are some wrong uses in terms and spelling mistakes should be corrected. (red words)

Discretionary Revisions
- In row No. 53 (during cystoscopy) better to be removed
- In row No. 69 (vortex mixed) needs to be clarified what is the vortex mixed?
- In row No. 72 what are the standard methods the author mentioned?
- In row No. 75 what does the author mean by with pass or fail message?
- In row No. 110 the author should clarify or delete all sentence.
- In row No. 117 it is better to delete (as we found).
- In row No.120 it is better to delete (has)
- In row No.128 the author should clarify the meaning of (With a small tear a microscopic leak can never be out ruled).
- In row No. 141 the coma should be deleted.
- The conclusion needs to be more clarified.
- The arrangement of the references should be revised.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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