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Reviewer's report:

Major Revisions
none

Minor Essential Revisions/Discretionary Revisions
1. May consider adding the words pre-operative quality of life questionnaires into the title
2. In the Abstract, under methods the last sentence Data were collected the day before surgery and 6?(need units months, weeks etc.)
3. In the abstract Results section: May consider defining a high and low score for the questionnaires that were used. In addition may consider avoiding abbreviations as this may be confusing to some readers.
4. Page 6 last paragraph. The follow up period lasted every 6 months for...
5. Page 9 Changes in quality of life section may be useful for the reader to avoid abbreviations
6. I may suggest that the authors considering adding a sentence that reflects more clearly the outcome. Women should be evaluated for quality measures not simply the correction of the anatomic defect. The PFIQ-7 may afford the surgeon the opportunity to identify women who may not benefit from a surgical correction. Page 11"It is important to note that some patients did not report impairment in quality of life measures related to genital prolapse" and thus may not be good candidates for surgery.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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