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**Reviewer's report:**

I feel the authors responded to my questions mostly but did not to another reviewers. I sugget the dicision for this paper should be dependent on reviewer 1.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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The authors responded to reviewer 2's question mostly but I do not think they repond to reviewer 1' ones in most questions. I suggest as followings:

1) Please use English.
2) Please highlight the revised parts in the text including tables.
3) Please respond to them as much as you can. This is because this article includes importnat aspects for understanding UTI in that this may reflect a usual clinical UTIs.