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Reviewer's report:

The quality of the manuscript has improved after revision. However, I still have two minor concerns:

1. Although there is no official recommendation how to stage PCa in cystoprostatectomy specimen, it is more than adequate to use the classical postprostatectomy classification. That is what has been done in most of the peer-reviewed studies regarding to this subject. Using the classic definition of pT1a/b for incidentally diagnosed PCa is irritating for the reader. Therefore, I would recommend to classify your incidental PCas as pT2 tumors and to describe them as organ-confined.

2. The information that 50 patients (96.1%) had positive cystoscopy for prostate infiltrating UCC should be added in the results as well as in the discussion part.
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