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Reviewer's report:

The authors are to be congratulated for their experience and effort. The study deserves publication, however, some modifications are suggested before it comes out.

It is already well known that pT4 bladder cancer is a sign of bad prognosis. On this manuscript, only prostate infiltrating tumors are included. Then, the term pT4 would be inappropriate (or not) since patients with uterine, vaginal, abdominal wall or pelvic wall infiltration (supposedly) have been excluded.

There is a difference in prognosis of patients with bladder cancer infiltrating ducts only from those infiltrating stroma. making such discrimination would strengthen the quality of the manuscript.

From the statistical perspective, it would be recommended to perform and multivariate cox regression analysis with all variables potentially impacting survival and use "presence of concomitant prostate cancer" and "prostate gland infiltration" as covariates (along with other prognostic factors, such as positive lymph nodes, etc) to predict survival.

What is it interpreted as pT1 prostate cancer?

It is interesting that only 6.5 of the entire cystectomy population had prostate cancer. Other studies report around a 50-60% rate. Please, comment.

It has been a pleasure to review this manuscript and I would like to congratulate the colleagues again for their work.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.