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In the abstract, under "Background" a sentence was modified so that it is now reader-friendly. The revised sentence reads as follows: "We wish to study the adverse events related to four, most common methods of bladder drainage in our patient population over a period of 24 months so that, patient care may be improved."

An example of data analysis is given in page 15.

I am grateful to the Editor and reviewers of BioMed Central for their valuable advice. I earnestly hope that the revised version will be considered suitable for publication in BioMed Central.

Many thanks