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Reviewer's report:

General
Grammar needs to be reviewed

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
None

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Based upon the clinical and laboratory evidence seen in these studies, what is your current use of meshes in the repair of hiatal hernias?

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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