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October 21, 2014

Ref.: MS: 1662475542124919; “Early prediction of survival after open surgical repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms”

Dear Dr. Carlo Banfi,

We are very grateful to the editorial team to their advice and comments on our manuscript MS: 1662475542124919 entitled “Early prediction of survival after open surgical repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms”. The second revision address all the mentioned concerns.

We have enclosed our statements to the reviewers’ along with the revised manuscript. We hope that you will accept our article for publication. Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript and to improve the quality of the present research article.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Felix Krenzien, M.D.  
Dr. Peter Fellmer, M.D.
BioMed Central - BMC Surgery

1. **STROBE guidelines:**

   In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies could you please ensure your manuscript reporting adheres to STROBE guideline reporting observational research. This is so your methodology can be fully evaluated and utilised. Can you please include a completed STROBE checklist as an additional file when submitting your revised manuscript.

   **Response:**

   Thank you for your advice. We submitted a completed STROBE checklist and ensure our study is in accordance to the guidelines.

2. **Requesting Ethics statement:**

   Research involving human subjects (including human material or human data) that is reported in the manuscript must have been performed with the approval of an appropriate ethics committee. Research carried out on humans must be in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. A statement to this effect must appear in the Methods section of the manuscript, including the name of the body which gave approval, with a reference number where appropriate.

   **Response:**

   The study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the University of Leipzig. The methods were revised.
3. **List of abbreviations:**

If abbreviations are used in the text they should be defined in the text at first use, and a list of abbreviations can be provided, which should precede the competing interests and authors' contributions.

**Response:**

Thank you for your advice. A list of abbreviations was added to the manuscript.