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**Reviewer's report:**

Minor essential revisions:
- I would specify that the study design was "2 consecutives series" and if it was single or multi-center
- You need to explain how the UCLA scale was obtain: was it through chart review or collected prospectively? Were the patients re-evaluated at the time of the study?
- Why using a modified UCLA scale?
- Reference number 5 do not seems to be accurate

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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