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Author's response to reviews:

As in the methodology section and the discussion of the manuscript mentioned, this study is observational (and descriptive). There was no further intervention. All patients have had received the prosthesis regardless of the study.

The prosthesis was viewed as the last treatment option before the formation of a definitive stoma.

We mentioned these aspects in the methods section of the manuscript.

"This observational study was approved by the ethics committee (No. 437/129). The prosthesis was viewed as the last treatment option before the formation of a definitive stoma."

We hope, that these amendments clarify your questions and