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Reviewer: Stewart Walsh

Reviewer's report:
The authors have revised the manuscript, taking account of both reviewers’ comments. As a basic first step in evaluating the effects of RIPC on skin-flap microcirculation, it represents a useful addition to the knowledge base.

Minor Essential Revisions
1. The authors continue to refer to their controlled study as a trial throughout the manuscript and in the title. The participants were not randomised in any way. The use of 'trial' is potentially confusing and should be avoided. please replace with 'prospective cohort study'.

We replaced all terms of “trial” by the term “study”.

2. At one point in methods, the authors state that the study was blinded. Given that all participants underwent preconditioning, it does seem possible for either participants or investigators to be blinded. This term should be removed.

We agree and removed the term “blinded”.

3. In their response, the authors state that 'We performed a Bonferroni-Holm-procedure as standard in multiple t-tests of statistical analysis'. The manuscript states a repeated measures ANOVA was used. They need to clarify which test was used.

Both statistical test were performed what we now stated in the paper. We used the ANOVA to test global differences between baseline and reperfusion data as well as multiple t-tests for comparison of each point over time versus baseline.
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Reviewer's report:
I am happy with replies
Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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Sincerely,

Robert Kraemer