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**Reviewer's report:**

General
Would like to see survival tables rather than just a summary as Tab 1&2 also some details about follow up; what was the follow-up for the 23.8% available at the end of the study? How was decision made that revision should be carried out? clinical? radiological? One percent of hips is missing..please check. Differences in terminology is understandable: eg: review -revision and I assume will be addressed by the Editors. These are some comments in order to speed things up.
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