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Reviewer’s report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined?
The question is not new, but it is well defined and very important for all the people working in drug safety.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?
The methods are well described.

3. Are the data sound and well controlled?
The data are sound.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
The manuscript adhere to the relevant standards.

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
The discussion and the conclusion are clear and balanced and are supported by the data.

> 6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
The title and the abstract are appropriate.

7. Is the writing acceptable?
The writing is good.

I will advise for publication without revision.
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