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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions:

In general, I find the manuscript well written and important. The authors could consider line 14-15 one page 3. I still find that 4 of the central studies (de Krom et al. Andersen et al. Nathan et al., (1988), and Stevens et al.) are included (in the reference list) in the review by Palmer et al. There might by a possibility for misunderstanding line 14-15 where it is said that two studies only were included.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.