Reviewer’s report

Title: Differences in the pectoralis minor length test in subjects with and without symptoms: a study of intrarater reliability and validity.

Version: 2 Date: 21 May 2007

Reviewer: John Borstad

Reviewer’s report:

General
Thank you for addressing the concerns raised by myself and the other reviewers in your revised manuscript. It remains a very well-written and informative document.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
None.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
None.

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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