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Reviewer's report:

General
The quality of the written English has improved considerably. There are only small mistakes left, which are of no importance for the overall understanding.
More importantly, my major concerns have been clarified.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Re. comment 2: I still find the paragraph about psychosocial workload confusing. Can the explanation in "Response to comments..." be incorporated into the manuscript?

Re. comment 3: That helped on the understanding! Still a few minor objections: a) 'binary logistic regression' is not a common term - logistic regression is by nature binary. b) p<0.05 is not 'marginally' significant (page 7, last paragraph).

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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