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Reviewer’s report:

General:
I would like to thank the authors for their revised manuscript and for addressing the issues in my report.

Major Compulsory Revisions:
1. I am satisfied with the authors’ response.
2. I am satisfied with the authors’ response.

Minor Essential Revisions:
Items #1-6 have been addressed.

I do not have any other issues to be addressed by the authors.

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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