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Reviewer’s report:

General

---------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None

---------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
1. A short explanation should be supplied under each figure.

---------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
1. Reports on Lab tests and x-rays, if they were done, would be useful integrated into the paper.
2. Sample size may be too small for drawing certain conclusions.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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