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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have addressed the concerns of this reviewer and the paper reads better for the amendments. I do however have one further comment.

One of the major drawbacks of not undertaking a systematic literature review is that information/papers are missed.

I draw the authors attention to a paper specifically addressing inter-rater reproducibility of tests for the rotator cuff:


Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.