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Reviewer's report:

- Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
  a. Yes
- Are the methods appropriate and well described?
  a. Yes
- Are the data sound?
  a. Yes
- Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation?
  a. Yes
- Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
  a. Yes
- Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
  a. Yes
- Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
  a. Yes
- Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
  a. Yes
- Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
  a. In the abstract line 70 they state that the study includes 99 patients. It is however only 87 patients and 99 knees
- Is the writing acceptable?
  a. I still find the text too wordy.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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