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1. Native English speaker read and correct the manuscript
   A native English speaking person has read the manuscript and corrections have been done using track changes

2. Uniform way of describing the measurement properties
   I have made changes as requested using track changes

3. Finally, the authors have forgotten to carry out an adjustment
   The adjustment has been made using track changes, apologies for the omission.
   “The amount of treatments will range between two and maximum of eight treatments and will be recorded. Patients that have not improved by the sixth treatment or patients that report any adverse events will be referred back to their general practitioner.”

4. The inter and intra-rater reliability was added as follows
   The inter- and intra-rater reliability of the researcher and the research assistant was established for the ULNDT1. Inter-class correlation coefficient was good at 0.85, (95%CI 0.66-1.06). Intra-class correlation coefficient was acceptable, physiotherapist 1: 0.85, (95%CI 0.64-1.06) and physiotherapist 2: 0.70 (95%CI 0.31-1.09).

Thank you for the valuable feedback

Regards

Annalie Basson