Author's response to reviews

Title: Lateral insertion is a good prognostic factor after in situ fixation in slipped capital femoral epiphysis

Authors:

Shigeo Hagiwara (karakkaze2000jp@yahoo.co.jp)
Junichi Nakamura (njonedr@chiba-u.jp)
Makoto Kamegaya (kame11cch@kke.biglobe.ne.jp)
Takashi Saisu (h-saisu@dc4.so-net.ne.jp)
Jun Kakizaki (kakizakijun@yahoo.co.jp)
Seiji Ohtori (sohtori@faculty.chiba-u.jp)
Shunji Kishida (shnjksd@faculty.chiba-u.jp)
Kazuhisa Takahashi (19501114@faculty.chiba-u.jp)

Version: 3
Date: 17 September 2014

Author's response to reviews: see over
Author’s response to Editor

Title: Lateral insertion is a good prognostic factor after in situ fixation in slipped capital femoral epiphysis

Authors:
Shigeo Hagiwara (karakkaze2000jp@yahoo.co.jp)
Junichi Nakamura (njonedr@chiba-u.jp)
Makoto Kamegaya (kame11eclch@kke.biglobe.ne.jp)
Takashi Saisu (h-saisu@dc4.so-net.ne.jp)
Jun Kakizaki (kakizakijun@yahoo.co.jp)
Seiji Ohtori (sohtori@faculty.chiba-u.jp)
Shunji Kishida (shnjksd@faculty.chiba-u.jp)
Kazuhiisa Takahashi (19501114@faculty.chiba-u.jp)

Version: 2 Date: 11 September 2014

Author’s response to Editor: see over
Objective: MS: 1750280287125453 - Lateral insertion is a good prognostic factor after in situ fixation in slipped capital femoral epiphysis. Dr Shigeo Hagiwara et al.

Thank you for consideration of our manuscript for publication in your journal. We have reviewed the above manuscript according to Editor’s comments.

Editor

1. STROBE guidelines

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#StandardsofReporting), could you please ensure your manuscript reporting adheres to STROBE guidelines (http://www.strobe-statement.org/) for reporting observational research. This is so your methodology can be fully evaluated and utilised. Can you please include a completed STROBE checklist as an additional file when submitting your revised manuscript?

- We include a completed STROBE checklist as an additional file. We modified the manuscript and confirm it meets the guideline.

2. Name of ethics committee:

Please update your ethics statement to include the name of the ethics committee that approved your study.

- We include the name “Research Ethics Committees, Chiba Children and Adult Orthopaedic Clinic” in the article.

3. By way of a section "Acknowledgements", please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for
authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible. The role of a scientific (medical) writer must be included in the acknowledgements section, including their source(s) of funding. We suggest wording such as 'We thank Jane Doe who provided medical writing services on behalf of XYZ Pharmaceuticals Ltd.' Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements section

• We modify the Acknowledgement section according to the above guideline.