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Reviewer’s report:

The paper herein compares three anterior cervical surgeries in treating cervical spondylotic myelopathy.

Major Compulsory Revisions

The paper is not written properly. The English is poor, there are too many spelling and typing mistakes not allowing a full comprehension of the subject.

Table 1 should be in the paper and not in supplementary material. The results do not show a clear difference between the procedures. Also, the number of subjects is too low. It is not clear why the patients underwent a procedure rather than another (randomly selected?). The discussion is too long and it is not focalized on the showed results.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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