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Dear Mr. Reynaldo Aldea Jr.,

We provided the editorial requirements you requested and give a point by point response:

1) **Ethics statement & 3.1) Consent statement**
   - Research involving human subjects (including human material or human data) that is reported in the manuscript must have been performed with the approval of an appropriate ethics committee. A statement to this effect must appear in the Methods section of the manuscript, including the name of the body which gave approval, with a reference number where appropriate.
   - Please state in the Methods section whether written informed consent for participation in the study was obtained from participants.
     - In the methods (page 6) we submitted a new subheading “Ethics statement” where we described the approval of the Medical Ethical Committee of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre and, within this same section, the written informed consent of the participants is given.

2) **Kindly remove 'Photograph & Video release statement' to maintain anonymity.**
   - We removed the “Photograph & Video release statement”.

3.) **Lastly, please add a statement to manuscript confirming that consent was obtained for publication of images and videos.**
   - In the acknowledgements section (page 20) we described a consent obtained for publication of the images and the videos.

Furthermore, on page 20, we submitted an “Authors’ contribution” and described the different contributions of the four authors.

Hopefully the revisited manuscript is ready for peer reviewing.
Yours sincerely on behalf of the co-authors,

Maarten van der Worp