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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Ethics: Experimental research that is reported in the manuscript must have been performed with the approval of an appropriate ethics committee. Research carried out on humans must be in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration (http://www.wma.net/e/policy/b3.htm), and any experimental research on animals must follow internationally recognized guidelines. A statement to this effect must appear in the Methods section of the manuscript, including the name of the body which gave approval, with a reference number where appropriate.

ADRESSED: added a statement that the study did not enroll any human subjects.

2. Competing interests: Please include a 'Competing interests' section after the Conclusions. If there are none to declare, please write 'The authors declare that they have no competing interests'. Please check the instructions for authors on the journal website for a full list of questions to consider when writing your competing interests statement.

ADRESSED: added a statement about competing interests after the “conclusions” section” (after the abstract).

3. Authors’ information: Please place the Authors’ Contributions section after Competing interests. Please check the instructions for authors on the journal website for the correct format to use for Authors' Contributions.

ADRESSED: added a statement about authors' contributions.

3. Acknowledgements: Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who
contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible.

**ADDRESSED:** added a statement about funding (by Eli Lilly and Company).

4. Abstract: Please add 'Methods' section to abstract.

**ADDRESSED:** added “Methods” section.