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**Reviewer's report:**

This protocol is suitable for publication. My responses to the 4 questions you posed are listed below:

1. Will the study design adequately test the hypothesis? YES

2. Are sufficient details provided to allow replication of the work or comparison with related analyses YES: if not, what is missing? N/A

3. Is the planned statistical analysis appropriate? YES

4. Is the writing acceptable? YES

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.