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Reviewer’s report:

The clarifications in the resubmitted paper are adequate.

Discretionary Revision:

"We have now edited the sentence which previously started with "electrodiagnostic findings" as suggested (p.7, para 1). Since we did not find significant association between EMG and clinical findings or self-reported symptoms, statistical significance in EMG between the baseline and the final follow-up was not reported."

My point was that perhaps there was a significant association between “change in EMG” and clinical findings. It would have been interesting to know if those who had experienced an improvement in EMG (from onset to follow-up) were also those who reported no symptoms.
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