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Reviewer's report:

The authors of this manuscript aimed to assess the quality of the psychometric properties of the 3 outcome scores available in the literature using standardized measures previously published. I commend their desire to report the best quality tools to better care for patients. The study is limited by the small number of outcomes scores available and small number of reviewers grading each article. The question and methods of the study are well outlined. The authors appropriately acknowledge other contributions to the literature regarding outcome scores and hip pathology.

Major Compulsory Revisions
None

Minor Essential Revisions
1. In the abstract it states that "six articles" were identified. In the body of the manuscript only 5 are outlined and graded. Please change this to the appropriate number.

2. Please list the limitations of the study in the conclusion.

Discretionary Revisions
3. The authors may want to consider additional researchers to grade each study using the measurement tools employed in the manuscript. This may strengthen the recommendations stated in the conclusion.

4. Please review and proofread the manuscript for grammar.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests.