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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editors

As requested, we have changed the following details and uploaded a revised manuscript:

Major revisions (we require the author to make these changes)

The figure file should not include the title (e.g. Figure 1... etc.) or the figure number.
Response: New figure files have been uploaded without a title

Structure: Change 'introduction' to 'background'.
Response: Yes, this has been done

Highlighting/tracking: Please remove all highlighting and tracking from the manuscript. Eg: underlined text.
Response: Yes, we have removed all tracking

Minor revisions (we can make these changes for you, although it will speed up publication of your manuscript if you do them while making the major changes above)

Tables- please remove the visible vertical lines from your tables
Response: We have removed the vertical lines, so they are not visible on print-outs

Typography: Please take this opportunity to check your manuscript for any typographical errors and to make any final corrections or revisions. This is the
final proofing stage for your manuscript, and you will not be able to make any changes after acceptance.

Response: We have checked the manuscript and corrected the following details:

1. On the title page, “Institute” has been changed to “School”
2. The word “work-related” instead of “workrelated” or “work related” has been consistently used throughout the manuscript.
3. The word “losing” has been consistently used instead of “loosing” throughout the manuscript.
4. In figure legend 2 an “s” has been added to “subgroup”
5. In table 1, the percentages 93, 93, and 92 have been changed to 14, 56 and 19 in relation to “support from colleagues” in the column No RTW, original study.
6. In table 1, we have delete “no” and moved “%” in relation to “claims of compensation due to health problems has been forwarded” and we have removed the “s” from “claims”.