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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

Thank you letting me review your article.

A modern article and the described test is from additional importance to assess the patient. Just this easy to perform, not expensive clinical tests are needed, so the clinician will use them in their daily practice.

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   Yes

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Yes

3. Are the data sound?
   To my opinion are the data right

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   Yes

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   Yes

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   Yes

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   Yes

9. Is the writing acceptable?
Yes, in the discussion maybe a bit to much written in the first person plural, this could be sometimes as well in the third person!

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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