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**Reviewer's report:**

This paper does not need to be reviewed by a statistician. I believe this is a good paper which has met its stated objectives. It may be important to those with similar clinical interests. The paper is written in clear English. The only question that is in the back of my head is why the radiographs were performed at different institutions and why the quality was different. It seems that this is another variable which was not normalized for. This article should be accepted with discetionary revisions.
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