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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? YES
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? IN PART
3. Are the data sound? YES
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? IN PART
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? YES
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? NO
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? YES
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? YES
9. Is the writing acceptable? YES

- Major Compulsory Revisions

1. YOU HAVE NOT COMPLETED A POST-HOC POWER ANALYSIS AS WAS SUGGESTED - IT WOULD STRENGTHEN THE RESULTS TO KNOW THE POWER BEHIND THE P VALUES
2. STATE THAT YOU USED 'INTENTION TO TREAT' ANALYSIS FOR THOSE COMPLETING <90 % AS THIS IS A RECOGNISED METHOD ADDING STRENGTH TO YOUR ANALYSIS
3. LABEL EACH GRAPH SO PRECISELY THAT A READER COULD UNDERSTAND AND INTERPRET IT WITHOUT THE TEXT.